

# **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office (EM-LA) Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544

EMLA-2022-BF050-02-001

Mr. Rick Shean Bureau Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, NM 87505-6313



February 24, 2022

Subject: Monthly Notification of Groundwater Data Reviewed in February 2022

Dear Mr. Shean:

This letter is the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office (EM-LA) and Newport News Nuclear BWXT-Los Alamos, LLC (N3B) written submission in accordance with Section XXVI.D of the 2016 Compliance Order on Consent (2016 Consent Order). Members of EM-LA and N3B met on February 10, 2022, to review groundwater data loaded or released in the Environmental Information Management (EIM) system during the previous calendar month. The enclosed report was prepared by comparing the data against groundwater notification criteria as defined in Section IX of the 2016 Consent Order. These criteria consider New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) groundwater standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) screening levels for tap water, EPA regional screening levels for tap water, and NMED-approved background values for hydrogeological zones as set forth in the "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5." The EPA tap water standard's carcinogenic risk values were adjusted to  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ , as specified in the 2016 Consent Order.

The enclosed report was prepared using the November 2021 EPA regional screening levels for tap water; the NMWQCC groundwater standards published December 21, 2018; and the June 2019 Table A-1 of "Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigations and Remediation" for NMED tap water screening levels.

This report also includes analytical data from samples collected at three locations within the Pueblo de San Ildefonso, which are subject to reporting at this time. These data have been reviewed by the Pueblo, as required under the 2014 memorandum of agreement between the DOE National Nuclear Security Administration Los Alamos Field Office, EM-LA, and the Pueblo de San Ildefonso (as amended in 2015).

#### **1-Day Notification**

One-day notification was not required because there were no cases of a contaminant detected in a well screen interval or spring at a concentration that exceeded a water quality standard for the first time. There were no instances of a contaminant detected at a concentration that exceeded the NMWQCC groundwater standard or federal MCL at locations where contaminants have not previously been detected above the respective standard as defined in the 2016 Consent Order (based on samples collected since June 14, 2007).

#### **15-Day Notification**

The information required for constituents that meet the five reporting criteria requiring written notification within 15 days is provided in the enclosed report and tables.

If you have questions, please contact Steve Veenis at (505) 309-1362 (steve.veenis@em-la.doe.gov) or Hai Shen at (505) 709-7600 (hai.shen@em.doe.gov).

Sincerely,

ARTURO DURAN Digitally signed by ARTURO DURAN Date: 2022.02.22 12:57:50 -07'00'

Arturo Q. Duran Compliance and Permitting Manager U.S. Department of Energy Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office

Enclosure(s):

1. Summary of Groundwater Data Reviewed in February 2022 that Meet Notification Requirements (EM2022-0119)

cc (letter with CD/DVD enclosure[s]): Steven Lynne, Los Alamos County, Los Alamos, NM (2 copies)

cc (letter and enclosure[s] emailed): Laurie King, EPA Region 6, Dallas, TX Raymond Martinez, San Ildefonso Pueblo, NM Dino Chavarria, Santa Clara Pueblo, NM David Gomez, Los Alamos County, Los Alamos, NM Steve Yanicak, NMED-DOE-OB Justin Ball, NMED-GWQB Steve Pullen, NMED-GWQB Andrew C. Romero, NMED-GWQB Melanie Sandoval, NMED-GWQB Chris Catechis, NMED-RPD Jocelyn Buckley, LANL Leslie Dale, LANL Brian Iacona, LANL J'nette Hyatt, LANL William Mairson, LANL Jennifer Payne, LANL

Karen Armijo, NA-LA Stephen Hoffman, NA-LA William Alexander, N3B Emily Day, N3B Mei Ding, N3B Danny Katzman, N3B Kim Lebak, N3B Joseph Legare, N3B Pamela Maestas, N3B Keith McIntyre, N3B Bruce Robinson, N3B Joseph Sena, N3B Troy Thomson, N3B Steve Veenis, N3B Brinson Willis, N3B M. Lee Bishop, EM-LA Michael Mikolanis, EM-LA David Nickless, EM-LA Cheryl Rodriguez, EM-LA Hai Shen, EM-LA emla.docs@em.doe.gov n3brecords@em-la.doe.gov Public Reading Room (EPRR) PRS website

### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER DATA REVIEWED IN FEBRUARY 2022 THAT MEET NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

#### INTRODUCTION

This report provides information to the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) concerning recent groundwater monitoring data obtained by Newport News Nuclear BWXT-Los Alamos, LLC (N3B) under the annual "Interim Facility-Wide Groundwater Monitoring Plan" for the 2022 monitoring year and contains results for contaminants and other chemical constituents that meet the five screening criteria described in Section XXVI of the 2016 Compliance Order on Consent, modified February 2017 (2016 Consent Order). The report covers groundwater samples collected from wells or springs (listed in the accompanying tables) that provide surveillance of the hydrogeological zones at Los Alamos National Laboratory, as indicated in the tables.

The report includes two tables. Table 1, NMED 1-22 Groundwater Report, presents categorical results since June 14, 2007, that met the five reporting criteria as specified in the 2016 Consent Order. Table 2, NMED 1-22 Groundwater Report Addendum, presents results that exceed the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of those results in the data set defined in the "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5." Only the contaminants and other chemical constituents that lack a calculated groundwater background value (i.e., the frequency of detections was too low to calculate a background value at the 95% upper tolerance level) are listed in this table. Table 2 is a voluntary submission by N3B to NMED that identifies the potential risk resulting from contaminants and other chemical constituents that are without defined background values.

These tables include the following:

- Comments on results that appear to be exceptional based on consideration of monitoring data acquired from previous analyses (using statistics described below);
- Supplemental information summarizing monitoring results obtained from previous analyses; and
- Sampling date, name of the well or spring, location of the well or spring, depth of the screened interval, groundwater zone sampled, analytical result, detection limit, values for regulatory standards or screening levels, and analytical and secondary validation qualifiers.

Additional information describing the locations and analytical data is also included. All data have been through secondary validation.

This report was prepared by comparing the data against groundwater notification criteria as defined in Section IX of the 2016 Consent Order. These criteria consider New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) groundwater standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), NMED screening levels for tap water, EPA regional screening levels for tap water, and NMED-approved background values for hydrogeological zones as set forth in the "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5." The EPA tap water standard's carcinogenic risk values were adjusted to 1 × 10<sup>-5</sup>, as specified in the 2016 Consent Order. This report was prepared using the November 2021 EPA regional screening levels for tap water; the NMWQCC groundwater standards published December 21, 2018; and the NMED tap water screening levels specified in the June 2019 Table A-1 of "Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigations and Remediation."

Background values applied in Table 1 notification criterion C4 are the background values for hydrogeological zones as set forth in the NMED-approved "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5."

Screening values applied in Table 2 criteria XC2scr and XC4scr are the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the data set used to establish background as defined in the "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5."

### **DESCRIPTION OF TABLES**

#### **1-Day Notification Requirement**

The CA value is used in the Criteria Code column of Table 1. The CA value indicates detection of a contaminant in a well screen interval or spring at a concentration that exceeds either the NMWQCC water quality standard or the EPA MCL if that contaminant has not previously exceeded such a water quality standard at that location. N3B, under the U.S. Department of Energy Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office, notifies NMED orally within 1 business day after review of such analytical data and also includes the data in the 15-day notification table.

#### **15-Day Notification Requirement**

Table 1 is divided into separate categories that correspond to the five screening criteria in Section XXVI of the 2016 Consent Order. In several cases, data met more than one of the notification criteria and therefore appear in the table multiple times.

The criteria codes (the "C" stands for criterion) and their definitions are as follows:

- C1. Detection of a contaminant that is an organic compound in a spring or screened interval of a well if that contaminant has not previously been detected in the spring or screened interval
- C2. Detection of a contaminant that is a metal or other inorganic compound at a concentration above the background level in a spring or screened interval of a well if that contaminant has not previously exceeded the background level in the spring or screened interval
- C3. Detection of a contaminant in a spring or screened interval of a well at a concentration that (1) exceeds the lower of either one-half the NMWQCC water quality standard or one-half the federal MCL; or, if there is no such standard for the contaminant, (2) exceeds one-half the tap water screening levels in Table A-1 of NMED's "Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigations and Remediation" (June 2019); or, if there is no NMED tap water screening level available for a contaminant, (3) exceeds one-half the EPA regional human health medium-specific screening level for tap water if that contaminant has not previously exceeded one-half such standard or screening level in the spring or screened interval
- C4. Detection of a contaminant that is a metal or other inorganic compound in a spring or screened interval of a well at a concentration that exceeds 2 times the background level for the third consecutive sampling of the spring or screened interval
- C5. Detection of a contaminant in a spring or screened interval of a well at a concentration that exceeds either one-half the NMWQCC water quality standard or one-half the federal MCL and which has increased for the third consecutive sampling of that spring or screened interval

Table 2 is divided into two categories that correspond to two screening criteria. They mirror criteria C2 and C4 in Table 1, respectively.

The two criteria are as follows:

- XC2scr Detection of a contaminant that is a metal or other inorganic compound at a concentration above the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile in a spring or screened interval of a well if that contaminant has not previously exceeded the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the data set used to establish background in the spring or screened interval as defined in the "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5"
- XC4scr Detection of a contaminant that is a metal or other inorganic compound in a spring or screened interval of a well at a concentration that for the third consecutive sampling exceeds 2 times the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the data set used to establish background as defined in the "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 5"

Columns 2 through 8 in both tables provide summary statistics for metals or organic/inorganic compounds by field preparation code (e.g., filtered aluminum) for samples collected since January 1, 2000, including the currently reported data. The statistics include the date of the first sampling event; the number of sampling events and samples analyzed; the number of detections; and the minimum, maximum, and median concentration for detections. This information indicates whether the new result is consistent with the range of earlier data.

The subsequent columns contain location and sampling information as follows:

Canyon-canyon where monitoring location is found

Zone—hydrogeological zone from which the groundwater sample was collected (e.g., alluvial spring)

Location-monitoring location name

Screen Depth—depth of top of well screen in feet (0 for springs, -1 if unknown)

Start Date-date the sample was collected

Fld QC Type Code—identifies regular samples (REG) or field duplicates (FD)

Fld Prep Code-identifies whether samples are filtered (F) or unfiltered (UF)

Lab Sample Type Code—indicates whether result is a primary sample (INIT) or reanalysis (RE)

Analy Suite Code—analytical suite (such as volatile organic compounds) for analyzed compound

Analyte Description—name of analyte

Analyte—chemical symbol for analyte or CAS (Chemical Abstracts Service) number for organic compounds

Std Result—analytical result in standard measurement units

Result/Median—ratio of the Std Result to the median of all detections since 2000

LVL Type/Risk Code—type of regulatory standard, screening level, or background value (indicating groundwater zone) used for comparison

Screen Level-value of the LVL Type/Risk Code

Exceedance Ratio—ratio of Std Result to LVL Type/Risk Code. In earlier versions of this report, the ratio was divided by the basis for comparison in the criterion, but that is no longer the case. For example, for a criterion (such as C3) that compares the value with one-half the standard, a value equal to a standard previously had an exceedance ratio of 2. The current report shows this ratio as 1.

Std MDL-method detection limit in standard measurement units

Std UOM-standard units of measurement

Dilution Factor—amount by which the sample was diluted to measure the concentration

Lab Qualifier—analytical laboratory qualifier indicating analytical quality of the sample data

Validation Qualifier—the qualifier that indicates the effects of all processes associated with the sample (i.e., sample collection, additional quality control samples such as field duplicates, etc.) on the quality of the sample data

Validation Reason Code—an explanation of the reason for validation of the qualifiers

Analy Meth Code—analytical method number

Lab Code—analytical laboratory name

Comment—N3B comment regarding the analytical result

#### Acronyms and Abbreviations

The tables may include the following acronyms, abbreviations, and analytical laboratory codes and qualifiers:

DOECAP—Department of Energy Consolidated Audit Program

DNX—hexahydro-1,3-dinitro-5-nitro-1,3,5-triazine

EPA MCL—U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant level

GENINORG—General inorganic

HEXP—high explosive

HMX—octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine

HRGC/HRMS—High-resolution gas chromatography/high-resolution mass spectrometry

ICP-AES—inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy

ICP-MS—inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

LANL Int BG LV—Los Alamos National Laboratory intermediate background level

LANL Reg BG LV—Los Alamos National Laboratory regional background level

LCMS/MS—liquid chromatography mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry

- LCS—laboratory control sample
- MDL-method detection limit
- MNX-hexahydro-1-nitroso-3,5-dinitro-1,3,5-triazine
- MS-matrix spike
- MSD—matrix spike duplicate
- n/a-not applicable
- NM GW STD-New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission groundwater standard
- NMED A1 TAP SCRN LVL-New Mexico Environment Department screening level for tap water
- NTU-nephelometric turbidity unit
- PETN—pentaerythritol tetranitrate
- PFAS—per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
- PQL-practical quantitation limit
- RDX—Royal Demolition Explosive (hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine)
- SIM-selected ion monitoring
- SVOC-semivolatile organic compound
- TDS-total dissolved solids
- TNX-2,4,6-trinitroxylene
- UAL—upper acceptance limit
- UOM-unit of measurement
- VOC-volatile organic compound

#### **Analytical Laboratory Codes and Qualifiers**

\* (lab qualifier) - (inorganic)—Duplicate analysis (relative percent difference) is not within control limits.

CFA—Cape Fear Analytical, LLC

BJ (lab qualifier)—Analyte is present in the blank, and the associated numerical value is an estimated quantity.

F—filtered

FD-field duplicate

GELC—GEL Laboratories, LLC, Division of the GEL Group, Charleston, SC

GENINORG—general inorganic

H (lab qualifier)—The required extraction or analysis holding time for this result was exceeded.

HE1a (validation reason code)—The quantitating internal standard area count was below the lower acceptance limit.

HE12a (validation reason code)—The LCS %recovery was less than the lower acceptance limit but greater than 10%.

HJ (lab qualifier)—The required extraction or analysis holding time for this result was exceeded. The associated numerical value is an estimated quantity.

HR4g—The detected sample result is  $\geq$ 5 times and <100 times the detected concentration of the same analyte in the associated blank.

HR12a—The laboratory control sample or ongoing precision and recovery sample percent recovery was < the lower acceptance limit and  $\geq$  the rejection limit.

HR12e—The matrix spike percent recovery was < the lower control limit.

I4a (validation reason code)—The affected analyte is considered estimated and biased high because this analyte was identified in the method blank but was greater than 5 times the concentration of the affected analyte in the sample.

I4g (validation reason code)—Result is less than a multiple of some secondary higher value found in field, trip, or rinsate blank.

I6a (validation reason code)—MS/MSD recovery is below lower limit.

I6b (validation reason code)—The associated matrix spike percent recovery is > the upper acceptance limit.

I7h—The initial or continuing calibration blank result is > method detection limit and the detected sample result is  $\geq$ 5 times and <100 times the blank result.

19b (validation reason code)—The affected analytes are regarded as rejected because the analytical holding time was exceeded.

I9c—The nonaqueous mercury, chromium(VI), or general chemistry sample temperature was greater than 10°C upon receipt at the laboratory.

110a—The sample and the duplicate sample results are greater than or equal to 5 times the reporting limit, and the duplicate sample relative percent difference is greater than 20% for water samples and greater than 35% for soil samples or outside of the laboratory's limits.

INIT—primary sample

J (lab qualifier)—The associated numerical value is an estimated quantity.

J (validation qualifier)—The analyte is classified as detected, but the reported concentration value is expected to be more uncertain than usual.

J- (validation qualifier)—The analyte is classified as detected, but the reported concentration value is expected to be more uncertain than usual with a potential negative bias.

J+ (validation qualifier)—The analyte is classified as detected, but the reported concentration value is expected to be more uncertain than usual with a potential positive bias.

J\_LAB (validation reason code)—The analytical laboratory qualified the detected result as estimated (J) because the result was less than the PQL but greater than the MDL.

N (lab qualifier)—Spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.

NQ (validation qualifier)—No validation qualifier flag is associated with this result, and the analyte is classified as detected.

NQ (validation reason code)—The analytical laboratory did not qualify the analyte as not detected and/or with any other standard qualifier. The analyte is detected in the sample.

PE9c—The sample temperature was >6°C, or the sample preservation criteria was not met, upon receipt at the laboratory.

PE12e (validation reason code)—The MS/MSD percent recovery was greater than 10% but less than 75%.

RE—reanalysis

RL—reporting limit

RPD—relative percent difference

REG—regular sample

SV7b—The initial or continuing calibration verification relative response factor < the laboratory's lower limit.

SV7c (validation reason code)—The percent drift was above acceptance limits in the initial calibration verification (ICV) or continuing calibration verification (CCV).

SV8 (validation reason code)—The affected analyte is considered not detected because mass spectrum did not meet specifications. The detect status is changed to N.

SV9—The holding time was greater 1 times and less than 2 times the applicable holding-time requirement.

SwRI—Southwest Research Institute

UF—unfiltered

V7b (validation reason code)—The initial or CCV relative response factor was less than the laboratory's lower limit.

V7k (validation reason code)—Level 3 data validation identified calibration issues affecting data usability.

V9b (validation reason code)—The preserved sample was analyzed outside the 14-day holding time or the unpreserved sample was analyzed outside the 7-day holding time.

## Table 1: NMED 1-22 Groundwater Report

			1-22 0100																												
Criteria Code	Visits	Samples	First Event	Min Detect	Max Detect	Median Detect	Num Detect	Canyon	Zone	Location	Screen Depth	Start Date Fid QC Type Code	Fld Prep Code	Lab Sample Type Code	ly Suite Code	Analyte Description	Analyte	Std Result	Result/Median	LVL Type/Risk Code	Screen Level	Exceedance Ratio	Std MDL	Std UOM	Dilution Factor	Lab Qualifier	Validation Qualifier	Validation Reason Code	Analy Meth Code	Lab Code	Comment
C4	17	18	08/04/2020		19.3	16.55	18	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-70 S2	1048.0	12/08/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	Cl(-1)	14.8 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.7				2.00			l6b	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	17	18	08/04/2020	178	272	214.5	18	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-70 S2	1048.0	12/08/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Chromium	Cr	183 1		_ANL Reg BG	7.48	25 3.	00 H	µg/L	1.00	1	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	17	18	08/04/2020	2.39	2.92	2.545	18	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-70 S1	963.0	12/08/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen	NO3+NO2-N	2.43 1		_ANL Reg BG	0.769	3.2 0.	170 r	mg/L	10.0	1	NQ N	NQ	EPA:353.2	GELC	
C4	17	18	08/04/2020	3.4	4.06	3.75	18	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-70 S2	1048.0	12/08/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as	NO3+NO2-N	3.40 1	L	_ANL Reg BG	0.769	4.4 0.	170 r	mg/L	10.0	1	NQ N	NQ	EPA:353.2	GELC	
C4	17	18	08/04/2020	22.8	32.6	29.15	18	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-70 S2	1048.0	12/08/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg		SO4(-2)	22.8 1	L	_ANL Reg BG	4.59	5 0.	266 r	mg/L	2.00	J	J+ 16	l6b	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	90	108	05/17/2005	2.27	7.43	5.47	108	Sandia Canyon	Regional	R-11	855.0	12/16/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen	NO3+NO2-N	5.92 1	L	_ANL Reg BG	0.769	7.7 0.	170 r	mg/L	10.0	1	NQ N	NQ	EPA:353.2	GELC	
C4	78	89	08/30/2007	68	408	347	89	Sandia Canyon	Regional	R-35a	1013	12/20/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Barium	Ва	354 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	38.1	9.3 1.	00	µg/L	1.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6010D	GELC	
C4	77	89	08/30/2007	5.97	7.31	6.56	89	Sandia Canyon	Regional	R-35a	1013	12/20/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	CI(-1)	6.94 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.7	2.6 0.	0670 r	mg/L	1.00	J	J+ I∠	l4g,l6b	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	78	89	08/30/2007	1.2	22.2	8.045	88	Sandia Canyon	Regional	R-35a	1013	12/20/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Nickel	Ni	8.34 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.9	2.9 0.	600 J	µg/L	1.00	١	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	78	84	02/28/2009	3	19.6	5.305	84	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-45 S1	880.0	12/07/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	Cl(-1)	19.2 4		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.7	7.1 0.	335 r	mg/L	5.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	78	84	02/28/2009	0.535	9.62	1.18	69	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-45 S1	880.0	12/07/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Nickel	Ni	9.62 8		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.9	3.3 0.	600 µ	µg/L	1.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	78	84	02/28/2009	0.256	3.47	2.815	84	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-45 S1	880.0	12/07/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen	NO3+NO2-N	3.09 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	0.769	4 0.	170 r	mg/L	10.0	1	NQ N	NQ	EPA:353.2	GELC	
C4	78	84	02/28/2009	4.1	20	8.16	84	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-45 S1	880.0	12/07/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Sulfate	SO4(-2)	19.6 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	4.59	4.3 0.	665 r	mg/L	5.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	77	82	03/05/2009	2.74	7.11	4.68	82	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-45 S2	974.9	12/07/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	Cl(-1)	7.08 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.7	2.6 0.	0670 r	mg/L	1.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	77	87	03/05/2009	6.1	62	27.9	86	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-45 S2	974.9	12/07/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Chromium	Cr	59.0 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	7.48	7.9 3.	00	µg/L	1.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	64	73	05/20/2011	2.03	43.9	21.9	72	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-61 S1	1125.0	12/10/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Chromium	Cr	38.6 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	7.48	5.2 3.	00	µg/L	1.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	64	73	05/20/2011	0.427	2.95	2.23	73	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-61 S1	1125.0	12/10/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen	NO3+NO2-N	2.48 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	0.769	3.2 0.	170 r	mg/L	10.0	٦	NQ N	NQ	EPA:353.2	GELC	
C4	63	72	05/20/2011	2.96	16.2	12.05	72	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-61 S1	1125.0	12/10/2021 REG	F	INIT	LCMS/MS	Perchlorate	CIO4	12.3 1		_ANL Reg BG _VL	0.414	30 0.	250	µg/L	5.00	٦	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6850	GELC	
C4	79	88	03/06/2010	4.68	21.9	9.805	88	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 FD	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	CI(-1)	20.5 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.7	7.6 0.	335 r	mg/L	5.00	١	NQ N	NQ	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	79	88	03/06/2010	4.68	21.9	9.805	88	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	Cl(-1)	21.0 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.7	7.8 0.	335 r	mg/L	5.00	1	NQ N	NQ	EPA:300.0	GELC	
C4	80	89	03/06/2010	1.51	14.6	5.83	89	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 FD	F	INIT	Metals	Nickel	Ni	9.04 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.9	3.1 0.	600 J	µg/L	1.00	١	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	80	89	03/06/2010	1.51	14.6	5.83	89	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Nickel	Ni	8.66 2		_ANL Reg BG _VL	2.9	3 0.	600 J	µg/L	1.00	1	NQ N	NQ	SW-846:6020B	GELC	
												•						•												•	

## Table 1: NMED 1-22 Groundwater Report

Criteria Code		Samples	First Event	Min Detect	Max Detect	Median Detect	Num Detect	Canyon	Zone	Location	Screen Depth	Start Date Fid QC Type Code	Fld Prep Code	Sam	Analy Suite Code	Analyte Description	Analyte	Std Result	Result/Median	LVL Type/Risk Code	Screen Level	Exceedance Ratio	Std MDL	Std UOM	Dilution Factor	Lab Qualifier	Validation Qualifier	Validation Reason Code	Analy Meth Code	Lab Code	Comment
C4	80	90	03/06/2010		3.01	2.15	90	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 FD	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen		2.98	1	LANL Reg BG LVL				0	10.0	N	Q NO	EP،	PA:353.2	GELC	
C4	80	90	03/06/2010	0.398	3.01	2.15	90	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen	NO3+NO2-N	2.92		LANL Reg BG LVL	0.769	3.8	0.170	mg/L	10.0	N	Q NO	EP،	PA:353.2	GELC	
C4	79	88	03/06/2010	7.22	21.1	14.55	88	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 FD	F	INIT	Geninorg	Sulfate	SO4(-2)	19.4		LANL Reg BG LVL	4.59	4.2	0.665	mg/L	5.00	N	Q NO	Q EP/	PA:300.0	GELC	
C4	79	88	03/06/2010	7.22	21.1	14.55	88	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-50 S1	1077.0	12/21/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Sulfate	SO4(-2)	19.9		LANL Reg BG LVL	4.59	4.3	0.665	mg/L	5.00	Ν	Q NO	۹ ED	PA:300.0	GELC	
C4	80	84	02/17/2009	1.99	21	2.785	84	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-44 S1	895.0	12/13/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Chloride	CI(-1)	20.3	7	LANL Reg BG LVL	2.7	7.5	0.335	mg/L	5.00	۰J	+ 161	D EP	PA:300.0	GELC	
C4	80	84	02/17/2009	0.536	109	29.2	57	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-44 S1	895.0	12/13/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Nickel	Ni	59.2	2	LANL Reg BG LVL	2.9	20	0.600	µg/L	1.00	N	Q NO	ג sw	V-846:6020B	GELC	
C4	80	84	02/17/2009	0.123	3.86	1.29	83	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-44 S1	895.0	12/13/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Nitrate-nitrite as nitrogen	NO3+NO2-N	2.78	2	LANL Reg BG LVL	0.769	3.6	0.170	mg/L	10.0	N	Q NO	۹ EP	PA:353.2	GELC	
C4	80	84	02/17/2009	2.76	21.1	4.63	84	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-44 S1	895.0	12/13/2021 REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Sulfate	SO4(-2)	19.8		LANL Reg BG LVL	4.59	4.3	0.665	mg/L	5.00	J	+ 161	D EP	PA:300.0	GELC	
C4	19	26	10/23/2001	81.1	239	96.9	26	White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Sacred Spring	0	10/12/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Barium	Ва	120		LANL Reg BG LVL	38.1	3.1	1.00	µg/L	1.00	N	Q NO	ג sw	V-846:6010D	GELC	
C4	22	31	10/19/2000	30	68.8	35.9	31	White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Sacred Spring	0	10/12/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Calcium	Ca	42.9	1	LANL Reg BG LVL	17.03	2.5	0.05	mg/L	1.00	N	Q NO	ג sw	V-846:6010D	GELC	
C4	19	26	10/23/2001	392	665	443.5	26	White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Sacred Spring	0	10/12/2021 REG	F	INIT	Metals	Strontium	Sr	435		LANL Reg BG LVL	157	2.8	1.00	µg/L	1.00	N	Q NO	ג sw	V-846:6010D	GELC	
C5	19	24	03/20/2017	8.08	24	16.45	24	Water Canyon	Regional	R-68	1340.0	12/16/2021 REG	UF	DL	HEXP	RDX	121-82-4	17.7		NMED A1 TAP SCRN LVL	9.66	1.8	0.400	µg/L	10.0	N	Q NO	ג sw	V-846:8330B	GELC	

#### Table 2: NMED 1-22 Groundwater Report Addendum

Criteria Code	site	visits Samples	First Event	Min Detect	Max Detect	Median Detect	Num Detect	Canyon	Zone	Location	Screen Depth	Start Date	Fld QC Type Code	Fld Prep Code	Sam	Analy Suite Code	Analyte Description	Analyte	Std Result	Result/Median	LVL Type/Risk Code	Screen Level	Exceedance Ratio	Std MDL	Std UOM	Dilution Factor	Lab Qualifier	Validation Qualifier	Validation Reason Code	Analy Meth Code	Lab Code	Comment
XC2s	cr 54	59	10/23/2015	57.8	71	60.2	59	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	SIMR-2	885.0	09/23/2021	REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Alkalinity- CO3+HCO3	ALK-CO3+HCO3	71.0	1.2	Reg-Scr_95	69.92	1	1.45	mg/L	1.00		NQ	NQ	EPA:310.1	GELC	
XC4s	cr 78	89	08/30/2007	20.6	54.5	39.7	83	Sandia Canyon	Regional	R-35a	1013.1	12/20/2021	REG	F	INIT	Metals	Boron	В	37.7	0.9	Reg-Scr_95	18.7	2	15.0	µg/L	1.00	J	J	J_LAB	SW-846:6010D	GELC	
XC4s	cr 78	89	08/30/2007	137	199	168	89	Sandia Canyon	Regional	R-35a	1013.1	12/20/2021	REG	F	INIT	Metals	Strontium	Sr	171	1	Reg-Scr_95	74.4	2.3	1.00	µg/L	1.00		NQ	NQ	SW-846:6010D	GELC	
XC4s	cr 64	73	05/20/2011	0.0531	11.8	0.419	69	Mortandad Canyon	Regional	R-61 S1	1125	12/10/2021	REG	F	INIT	Geninorg	Total phosphate as phosphorus	PO4-P	0.205	0.5	Reg-Scr_95	0.0822	2.5	0.0200	mg/L	1.00		NQ	NQ	EPA:365.4	GELC	
XC4s	cr 19	26	10/23/2001	36.3	946	156.5		White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Sacred Spring	0	10/12/2021	REG	F	INIT	Metals	Iron	Fe	472	3	Reg-Scr_95	53.8	8.8	30.0	µg/L	1.00		NQ	NQ	SW-846:6010D	GELC	
XC4s	cr 19	26	10/23/2001	32.8	994	213		White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Sacred Spring	0	10/12/2021	REG	F	INIT	Metals	Manganese	Mn	215	1	Reg-Scr_95	12.1	17.8	2.00	µg/L	1.00		NQ	NQ	SW-846:6010D	GELC	
XC4s	cr 19	21	09/24/2001	20.2	51.6	38.8		White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Spring 1	0	10/07/2021	REG	F	INIT	Metals	Boron	В	38.8	1	Reg-Scr_95	18.7	2.1	15.0	µg/L	1.00	J	J	J_LAB	SW-846:6010D	GELC	
XC4s	cr 18	20	09/13/2004	185	207	199		White Rock Canyon and Rio Grande	Regional	Spring 1	0	10/07/2021	REG	F	INIT	Metals	Strontium	Sr	191	1	Reg-Scr_95	74.4	2.6	1.00	µg/L	1.00		NQ	NQ	SW-846:6010D	GELC	